

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATE SHEET AND DECISION GUIDE

Date: 30 th July 2024	Surveyor: Andrew White
----------------------------------	------------------------

Tree details		
TPO Ref.: TPO (214) 2024	Tree/Group No: T1	Species: Oak
Owner (if known):		
Location: 83 Parsons Road, Southcrest, Redditch		

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & Suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Unsafe | Unsuitable |
| 0) Dead | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes 3

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

- | | |
|-------------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40 – 100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20 – 40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10 – 20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10 | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes 4

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features | Highly suitable | Score & Notes 4 |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable | |
| 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only | Just suitable | |
| 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty | Unlikely to be suitable | |
| 1) Young, v.small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable | |

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- | | |
|--|----------------------------|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees | Score & Notes 4 |
| 4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion | |
| 3) Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance | |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual | |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features | |

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Notes

- | | |
|--|----------------------------|
| 5) Known threat to tree | Score & Notes 5 |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree | |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree | |
| 1) Precautionary only | |
| 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance | |

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1 – 6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7 – 11 | Does not merit TPO |
| 12 – 15 | Possibly merits TPO |
| 16+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total: 20

Decision: Raise TPO
